

DEMOGRAM

Next Membership Meeting:

Olympic View Elementary

Thursday, August 20th

7:00 PM Social

7:30 PM Mtg Begins



◀ NOTES FROM THE CHAIR - Chad Lupkes ▶



We're breaking records in Seattle! Wednesday, July 29th was the hottest day ever recorded at SeaTac Airport. Amazing.

We're also getting a lot of work done. I'd like to thank Jason Sawatzki for all the work that he and Shanna have put into the Literature Distribution plan. It started with an event on July 20th when we batched together all of the literature we had dropped off by our endorsed candidates into bags for each of our Precinct Committee Officers. Jason has broken the district into 5 areas, with a coordinator in each of them.

R1: Jason Sawatzki, jlsawatzki@gmail.com, 206-226-3598

R2: Shanna Sawatzki, shanna7@gmail.com, 206-434-6023

R3: Virginia Rankin, varankin@comcast.net, 206-525-6436

R4: Erica Minshull, erica_minshull@hotmail.com, 253-468-8582

R5: Peter Shapiro, j.p.shapiro@comcast.net, 206-524-9386

These five coordinators took the bags that we filled at the meeting and dropped them off on the doorstep of each of our PCO's. With 8,000 pieces coming from each of our endorsed candidates, that's a lot of literature.

Peter, Sarajane and I worked all day August 1st in our booth at Lake City Pioneer Days, the biggest summer event in North Seattle. We had several candidates come by and stay for several hours, having a great time talking to voters and enjoying the sun. Check our blog for the whole story!

It's not all work, though! The 46dems proved again on July 11th that we could have fun with a Summer Holiday Party at the home of Rod Dembowski and Lynna Song. This was the same location where we had our Winter Holiday Party, and we may be setting a tradition. It's a wonderful home, with wonderful hosts, and it was

great to be able to see and enjoy their back yard this time.

The Raymond T. Cole Committee has finished their work to prepare a final draft proposal on what we can do with the gift we received. You can see what is being proposed on pages 6 and 7 of this issue of the Demogram, as well as on our website. The executive board will be reviewing everything on Tuesday, August 4th, and we'll have a presentation ready at the meeting on August 20th.

We have been pushing organization business like this off for a few months, so the August meeting is going to be very focused on business. Our three elected officials in Olympia will finally get a chance to give us their stories from the Legislative Session earlier this year. And we will be going over how we count and handle voting by considering a proposal that came from the lessons learned in our 2008 election cycle. And the last thing we need to do is hear the report from the Financial Review Committee on how our financial books look in 2009.

All this, and we still have to keep an eye on Washington D.C. Something exciting happened this past week that applies to our platform, and I can't let the month go without bringing it up. Representative Andrew Weiner from New York's 9th congressional district introduced a Single Payer amendment to one of the several bills going through Committees on the hill. He was asked to withdraw it at the committee level **because the Speaker of the House wanted Single Payer to be introduced, debated and voted upon by the entire US House of Representatives!** **This means it is up to us to push it through! YES WE CAN!**

Chad Lupkes

Chair, 46th District Democrats



Next General Membership Meeting

Thursday, August 20th

Olympic View Church ~ NE 95th St. & 5th Ave. NE

Chili Feed at 7:00

Legislative Review, Cole Committee Proposal
Tally Committee Procedures, Financial Review

(Complete Agenda on Page 2)

46th District Executive Board Members

Chair:

Chad Lupkes (440-1592) chadlupkes@gmail.com

First Vice Chair:

Shanna Sawatzki (434-6023) shanna7@gmail.com

Vice Chair (Membership):

Betty Means (523-5702) bmeans56@comcast.net

Vice Chair (Program):

Gerry Pollet (528-0078) gerry-pollet@msn.com

Secretary:

Stan Sorscher (522-7660) sorscher@comcast.net

Treasurer:

Stan Moffett (365-7562) stanmaggie@comcast.net

State Committeeman:

John Webber (365-0741) john.p.webber@comcast.net

State Committeewoman:

Janet Miller (528-0078) gerry-pollet@msn.com

King County Male Representative:

Dean Fournier (364-4539) dean48@comcast.net

King County Male Alternate:

Matthew McSheehy (425-896-2844)
matthew@mcsheehy.com

King County Female Representative:

Erica Minshull (253-468-8582)
erica_minshull@hotmail.com

King County Female Alternate:

Sarajane Siegfriedt (440-5829) sarajane3h@comcast.net

Sergeant at Arms:

Barbara Whitt (729-7410) barbara@whitts-end.com

At Large Members:

Kathryn Lewis (356-1835) kathryn@kathrynelewis.com

Jason Sawatzki (226-3598) jlsawatzki@gmail.com

J Peter Shapiro (524-9386) j.p.shapiro@comcast.net

Javier Valdez (548-9510) airjav@msn.com

Sheila Kohls (523-6863) sheilakohls@msn.com

Next Demogram Deadline: **August 25, 2009**

Proudly Printed by Union Labor!



Agenda for August 20th

All you can eat Chili!
\$5 suggested donation

- *Welcome*
- *Pledge of Allegiance*
- *Approval of June Minutes*
- *Chair's Report*
- *Committee Reports*

- *Legislative Action*

2009 Legislative Session Review

- *Finance
& Treasurers Report*

Financial Review Committee

- *Raymond Cole Fund*

Cole Fund Presentation

- *Membership/PCO*

Tally Committee Procedures

- *Platform/Resolutions/
Bylaws*
- *Community Outreach*
- *Party Reports*
 - *State Party Report*
 - *County Party Report*

- *Old Business*

- *New Business*

- *Good of the Order*

- *Adjourn*

Please check our Website for all the latest information, meeting minutes, calendars and
an expanded online Demogram:
www.46dems.com

In Case You Missed It....

by Jan Ames

Approximately 175 PCOs, members, candidates and staff, and visitors crowded into the 46th District Democrats' membership meeting on June 18 to take part in the endorsement process for the upcoming primary election August 18.

Chair Chad Lupkes reported on the Mothers March for Health Care held May 30, the upcoming Seattle Pride Parade, and the District's July 11 summer holiday party.

The proposed endorsement rules, which had been changed slightly since the January endorsement of Sherrill Huff for King County Elections Director, were **approved**.

After nominations and speeches for and by candidates, the following endorsements were made:

Seattle Mayor:	No endorsement
Seattle City Council Position 4	David Bloom
Seattle City Council Position 6	Nick Licata
Seattle City Council Position 8	Dual Endorsement for David Miller and Bobby Forch
King County Executive	Dual Endorsement for Larry Phillips and Dow Constantine

Port of Seattle, Position 3

Rob Holland

Port of Seattle, Position 4

Max Vekich

Court of Appeals, Division 1, Seattle, District 1, Seat 3

Anne Ellington

Seattle Green Bag Fee

Endorsed/Approved

For full details of the June endorsement meeting, go to 46dems.com.

The August meeting will be held at the Olympic View Church (**not** the Olympic View Elementary School) and will include Olympia reports from **Senator Jacobsen** and **Representatives Kenney** and **White**, as well as a report from the Cole Committee.

At the September 17 meeting, endorsements will be considered for the positions of Port of Seattle, Position 1; King County Assessor; King County Sheriff; and King County Council, District 1, Seattle City Council Position 2, Seattle City Attorney and State Initiative 1033. A full list will be in the September Demogram.

While no membership meeting was held in July, a summer barbeque potluck took place Saturday, July 11, from 1-4 p.m. at the home of **Rod Dembowski** and **Lynna Song**. Many elected officials and candidates attended along with a big group of members. A good time was had by all!



46th District Democrats Thanks For The Endorsement!

To Learn More About Bobby's Plan To Create Jobs
And Keep Seattle A Great Place To Live, Go To:

www.bobbyforch.com

**BOBBY
FORCH**
FOR SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

Paid for by The People for Bobby Forch - PO Box 14055 Seattle, WA 98144 - (206) 658-5840 - www.bobbyforch.com

Resolutions

A resolution supporting the SR-520 West Side “A” Design

Whereas, Chapter 472, state of Washington Laws of 2009 directed that a six lane freeway replace SR 520 from Redmond to I-5, including the Evergreen-Montlake Floating Bridge and the Portage Bay Bridge, adopted a project cost limit for the entire State Route 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV- Project of \$4.65 Billion, and established a legislative Working Group to study and decide upon a west-side design, and report to the Legislature by January 1, 2010;

Whereas, on May 18, 2006, the 46th District Democrats adopted a Resolution opposing the proposed SR 520 west-side Pacific Street Interchange (building interchanges in the Arboretum and on the University Campus south of Husky Stadium and linking them with an elevated viaduct over the Montlake Cut) and favored keeping of SR 520 within the existing SR 520 corridor south of the Lake Washington Ship Canal and protecting the Arboretum; and

Whereas, the Alternative A design proposed after 18 months of Community Mediation, would rebuild SR520 within its existing corridor using its existing alignment, add a parallel Montlake drawbridge, and remove the SR 520 ramps from and to the Washington Park Boulevard in the Arboretum, at a total project cost of \$4.53 to \$4.8 Billion.

Alternative K design, at a cost of \$6.57to \$6.67 Billion would use a similar alignment to L but with a costly and risky 1500’ long, horseshoe shaped, 150’ wide, four-lane tunnel, 50’ under the Montlake Cut, with steep grades and curves and with transit buses in mixed traffic.

Alternative L would build an interchange at NE Pacific Street and Montlake Boulevard NE, south of the Husky Stadium and another interchange by adjacent communities impacting East Montlake and McCurdy Parks (where the Museum of History and Industry is located); and L connects the two with a long diagonal draw-bridge from the east end to the northwest side of the Montlake Cut, at a cost of \$5.07to \$5.15 Billion

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED—

WE SUPPORT the SR 520 Alternative A as the ONLY west-side design that--

- Comes within the statutory budget,
- Keeps the SR 520 within its existing corridor,
- Would reduce auto traffic on the Lake Washington Boulevard through the Arboretum,
- Would protect the University of Washington Campus and its Waterfront Activities Center; and
- Reduce traffic through the Northeast Seattle Neighborhoods, and require no widening of northeast city arterials such as Montlake Blvd N.E.

The “A” design makes the least adverse impact on the park and wetlands, and to the Arboretum and the Union Bay 1st Class Wetlands and is the most transit and user friendly design that protects more of the surrounding sensitive environment and communities since its ramps are located at the current crossroads of a state highway and city arterial.

We ALSO SUPPORT removal of all the ramps directly to and from SR 520 and Lake Washington Boulevard in the Arboretum, in order that the entire area may be returned to Arboretum-oriented use, and permanently protect both the East Montlake and McCurdy Parks from construction and long term impacts caused by the K and L designs, while A keeps the parks and adds connections with pathways for public access to the Arboretum through a new landscaped lid over the SR 520 lanes.

Repeal of the Federal “Defense of Marriage Act” (DOMA)

WHEREAS, in the wake of imposition of the “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” Policy on a reluctant military leadership, a Defense of Marriage Act was enacted and signed into law in 1996 (P.L. No. 104-199, 110 Stat. 2419),

- (a) limiting federal recognition of marriage and attendant federal benefits to unions involving one man and one woman, and
- (b) providing, contrary to the Full Faith and Credit Clause (U.S. Constitution, Article IV), that no state need treat as valid a marriage between persons of the same sex, even if valid under the laws of the state where the marriage was performed; and

WHEREAS said Act, commonly known as “DOMA,”

- (a) was based on the manifestly fallacious notion that heterosexual marriages in the United States are endangered by the prospect of same-sex couples marrying, and
- (b) deprives same-sex couples of the opportunity to be accorded a vast array of rights and benefits available under federal law to heterosexual couples; and

WHEREAS, since the enactment of DOMA, several states have, by legislation or court decision upholding equality of rights under law, enabled same-sex marriage and accorded full validity thereto under the laws of those states; and

WHEREAS our President was elected on a program including the assertion that "Obama also believes we need to fully repeal the Defense of Marriage Act and enact legislation that would ensure that the 1,100+ federal legal rights and benefits currently provided on the basis of marital status are extended to same-sex couples . . ." (campaign website, 2008); and

WHEREAS the Platform of the 46th District Democrats, adopted July 26, 2008, declares that “We support [e]very adult couple’s right to marry and be afforded all civil rights associated with marriage, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity”; and

WHEREAS the Platform of the King County Democrats, adopted April 13, 2008, declares that “The rights and responsibilities conferred by marriage should be available to all committed adult couples regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity”; and

WHEREAS the Platform of the Washington State Democratic Party, adopted July 14, 2008, declares that “We believe [m]arriage is a human right and should not be restricted by sexual orientation or gender identity”; and

WHEREAS the Platform of the National Democratic Party, adopted August 25, 2008, declares that “We oppose the Defense of Marriage Act and all attempts to use this issue to divide us”;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that DOMA should be repealed without further delay, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that our Senators and Congressional Representatives be notified of this Resolution and queried as to their intended actions in furtherance thereof.

No time to eat before the meeting?



**Come to All you can Eat
Chili ~n~ Fixin’s
(Vegetarian, too!)
At the LD meeting
8/20/2009 ~ 7:00**



**Barbara’s Home Made Chili
Baked Potatoes, Salad
Crackers, Beverage
\$5 Suggested Donation**

The usual cookies and coffee are available for the standard suggested donation of \$1

The Raymond T. Cole Fund Proposal
A presentation by Jan Ames, Chair, Raymond T. Cole Committee

Background:

Raymond T. Cole, a Treasurer of the 46th District Democrats for a number of years during the 1990s, left \$60,000 to the 46th District Democrats. The District has received \$60,000 from the Cole estate; a small additional remainder may arrive once the estate is finally settled. The 46th District Democrats have established the **Raymond T. Cole Committee** to serve as Fund Administrator. Our Chair, Chad Lupkes, has appointed the following members to serve on the Committee:

*Jan Ames, Jean Arnott, Pat Emerson, Kathryn Lewis, Chad Lupkes, Betty Means,
Janet Miller, Stan Moffett, Jason Sawatzki, Javier Valdez, and Mariah Voutilainen.*

At its first meeting on May 18, 2009, the Committee elected Jan Ames as Chair and Chad has officially appointed her to that position.

The Committee has adopted **guiding principles** by which to administer the Fund, an initial **fund allocation, criteria** and a **committee evaluation process** for recommending projects, and a **timeline for the proposal evaluation process**.

The District Executive Board reviewed and approved the plan at its August 4 meeting and **recommends the following for membership approval**.

Guiding Principles:

- The Fund will seek to honor the values of Raymond T. Cole: **Education, Ethics, 46th District Democrats**.
- The Fund will be used for special projects and opportunities, and not for items or projects normally covered in the 46th District Democrats annual operating budget.
- The Committee will establish a transparent and fair, yet flexible and non-bureaucratic, process to administer the Fund; determine criteria against which to evaluate funding proposals; and establish and follow a standardized proposal and evaluation process.
- The Fund will be administered separately from the 46th District Democrats normal operating budget but will be contained within the overall budget.
- The Committee will recommend funding of proposals to the 46th District Executive Board. Committee recommendations must be approved by the general membership at a regular meeting of the 46th District Democrats.
- The Committee will provide progress reports to the 46th District Democrats.
- The Fund will be administered with full compliance with PDC, IRS, and other legal regulations.

Initial Funding Categories & Allocation:

The categories of projects that will be considered for funding initially include:

Fundraising: projects that will recoup the grant amount (or a major portion thereof), with the idea that the Fund be restored. Any proceeds above and beyond restoring the Fund from the event may either be returned to the Fund or deposited in the 46th District Democrats general budget.

Capital Projects: e.g., purchasing a sound system for the District

Organization-Building: e.g., initiating a grade school program to foster civics and activism, providing scholarships for LD members to receive training in areas of activism and the political process

The Committee may grant up to \$10,000 of the fund by the end of 2010. The remainder will be invested in low-risk investment vehicles with rolling maturation dates.

Criteria

The Cole Fund uses the following criteria as a measure in deciding which projects to support. Projects are not required to meet all criteria.

All Projects will be consistent with the vision, values, and platform of the 46th District Democrats

The Project . . .

- Results in real improvement in people’s lives and our communities
- Builds the 46th Legislative District through enhanced reputation, more members and volunteers, new allies
- Gives people in the 46th Legislative District a sense of empowerment and energy toward activism
- Builds leadership or organizing skills of 46th LD members
- Builds 46th LD’s relationship with other Party/community groups
- Is ‘doable’ within the projected timeline and has a high likelihood of success
- Is non-divisive among members of the 46th District Democrats
- Has costs that can largely be recouped

For reporting purposes to members of the 46th District Democrats, all applicants funded by the Raymond T. Cole Fund will **be required to provide Quarterly Reports** and a final **Outcomes Summary** to the Fund Administrators at the conclusion of the project.

Proposed Timeline

Round One:

August 20, 2009	Cole Fund Administrators present proposal to 46 th General Membership
August 21, 2009	Opening of Application Process (Letters of Intent)
Sept 30, 2009	Deadline for receiving Letters of Intent
Oct. 7, 2009	Deadline for Committee to notify applicants that Fund is/is not interested in receiving Grant Request Application
Oct. 21, 2009	Deadline for Committee to receive Grant Request Applications
Nov. 3, 2009	Cole Fund presents recommendations to 46 th E-Board
Nov. 19, 2009	46 th General Membership votes on grant allocations
Nov. 30, 2009	Deadline for Committee to notify all applicants and to fund those that are approved.

Round Two:

December 1-31, 2009	Application Process (Letters of Intent)
February 28, 2010	Estimated deadline for notifying & funding successful applicants

Once successful grant applicants have been selected, a Fund Administrator will be assigned to each project to serve as advisor and liaison.

46th District Democrats 2008 Financial Review

On April 19, May 3 and May 9, 2009, a financial review team of Norma Miller, Barbara Pistoiresi and recently elected District Treasurer Stan Moffett reviewed the financial transactions and financial controls for the 46th Legislative District Democrats for calendar year 2008. We found all book keeping and documentation intact, transparent and easy to follow.

We used a modified financial review process from *Auditnet.org* to guide the review. We made the following findings:

- Employing an Audit Sampling Random Number Generator methodology, the team reviewed 12 random deposits and bank statements and 14 checks from 2008 for a 95% confidence level that the remaining items NOT SAMPLED would have the same characteristics as the items that were sampled. **We found consistency between the checks, the check voucher, bank documentation and the budget expenditure/revenue recording of the deposits and expenditures.**
- We traced complete budget and expenditure documentation for two different months and found alignment. We checked PDC and bank statements for the same months to ensure consistency.
- Using Auditnet.org's audit methodology, we also reviewed the District's financial policies and procedures, including organizational mission, delegation of financial authority and the controls for financial management. According to the Auditnet.org methodology, the District is well organized for financial management and has adequate controls in place.
- A review of the 2006 Audit revealed one follow up item: Audit asked the District leadership to check whether an interest bearing account could further the goals of the organization. The Treasurer, Donna Boysen, did look into interest bearing accounts. Research revealed that our account balance could not sustain a minimum balance without incurring additional expense that negates any earned interest. The 2009 Audit committee accepts that analysis. No further action required at this time.
- A few minor recommendations based on our review of 2008 finances:
 1. While no problems were identified, the committee noted that the Treasurer was reimbursed a few times without oversight. **We recommend that all checks made payable to the District Treasurer (by the District Treasurer for reimbursement) should be signed by another authorized District signator to ensure proper oversight.**
 2. We also noted that the Treasurer often has to allocate funds against a budget account when a member makes an expenditure. **We recommend that the member who is making the expenditure (or asking for reimbursement) should be required to assign the correct budget account to confirm appropriateness with the membership-passed budget and that there are funds available to cover the expenditure.** The Treasurer can assist the identification of funds.

The District has adopted a new and approved budgeting-by-program/committee. The Audit committee finds this to be a terrific tool for committee accountability and tracking that our mission and goals are met.

In summary, we found all deposit and expense documentation for 2008 in order. No evidence of questionable practices was found and we are satisfied that the books for 2008 are complete, comprehensive and thorough. The District is very fortunate to have had Donna Boysen as Treasurer for 2006-2008. She has done a great job on your behalf and has mentored Stan Moffett so he can continue the tradition!

Sincerely,

The 2009 46th District Audit committee

Norma Miller
10758 Riviera Pl NE
Seattle, WA 98125
(206) 524-7998
normajm@comcast.net

Barbara Pistoiresi
914 North 77th
Seattle, WA 98103
Casaalmare@netzero.net

Stan Moffett
11752 Palatine Ave. North
Seattle, WA 98133
stanmaggie@comcast.net

46th District Organization: Proposed Methods of Voting and Determining Results

I. Routine Voting

A. Voice

1. Voting will normally take place by voice vote (Ayes and Nays).
2. If the Chair is confident about the outcome, he/she announces the result.

B. Revote – Show of Hands or Rising (Standing)

1. If the Chair is in doubt about a voice vote, he/she may call for a revote, either by a show of hands or rising vote, calling for the Ayes and then the Nays, and makes a visual judgment as to the prevailing side.
2. If any member is in doubt about a voice vote, he/she may call out “Division” (no second required). The Chair immediately calls for a raising of hands by the Ayes, and then by the Nays, and makes a visual judgment as to the prevailing side.
3. If the Chair or any member is in doubt as to the outcome of an uncounted vote, see § II below.
4. When voting is restricted to Precinct Committee Officers, PCOs may be asked to raise credentials. When warranted, credentials are prepared by the Membership Chair or any other person designated by the Chair, and shall be provided to PCOs at the sign-in table.

II. Counted Vote

A. Counted Hand Vote

1. If the Chair is in doubt after a show of hands or rising vote, he/she may call for a counted vote.
2. If a member wants the vote counted, the member moves for a counted vote. Such motion requires a second, is not debatable, and requires a simple majority vote to pass.
3. The Chair appoints tellers to count the vote and calls for the Ayes.
4. Each teller, from the outer aisles, walks row-by-row, from front to back of the room.
5. Voters with raised hands face the teller on their side and are counted off in order by the teller.
6. Tellers meet at the podium and report their vote counts to the Chair.
7. The Chair calls for the Nays.
8. After the Nays are counted and reported in the same manner, the Chair declares the result.

- B. **Counted Rising Vote** – The Chair may, at his/her sole discretion, call for a rising (standing) vote, to be conducted and counted in the same manner as provided in §II.A. above. The Aye and Nay voters will, in turn, be asked to face the outer aisle on their side and are counted off by the tellers.

C. Written Ballots

1. General Procedures

- a. Where required by higher authority or determined by vote of the body, voting will be conducted by written ballot.
- b. Official ballots will be prepared by the Membership Chair or any other person designated by the Chair, and shall be provided to eligible voters at the sign-in table.
- c. No replacement ballots or credentials will be issued for those lost or misplaced.
- d. The Chair appoints tellers from a list of members who have received Tally Committee orientation and instruction.
- e. The Chair asks that all those eligible to vote pick up their ballots, if they have not already done so.
- f. The Chair announces which ballot is to be used for the particular issue, and asks that they be uniformly submitted face down and not folded.
- g. Tally Committee collects the ballots.
- h. The Chair asks if any who have a ballot and want to vote have not had their ballot collected.
- i. Tally Committee counts the ballots on a table or other visible surface in an accessible place in the building. Observers may be present, must not interfere and must stand back from the ballots and Committee. Upon request by the Tally Chair, the number of such observers may be limited.
- j. An eligible voter may submit his/her ballot while the Tally Committee is still counting ballots for that race/measure.
- k. No ballot may be submitted after the Tally Committee has completed its count.
- l. When signed ballots are required, a member desirous of changing her/his vote after the Tally Committee has collected the ballots may retrieve her/his ballot, change it and resubmit it to the Committee if the Committee has not yet completed its count.
- m. If the intent of the voter is clear, the Tally Committee will ignore misspellings.
- n. Folded ballots are not invalid nor illegal, and will be counted.
- o. Neither a motion to adjourn the meeting nor a question of the existence of a quorum is in order while awaiting the Chair’s declaration of the results of a vote, or while a motion for a recount is being considered.

2. **Questionable Ballots** – Ballots that fall into either of two categories are to be deemed questionable by the Tally Committee:

- a. Invalid Ballot – any ballot that is either:
 - ii. Unsigned (when a signature is required)
 - iii. Cast for an incorrect number of candidates, or for someone not eligible (e.g., “Robin Hood”)
 - iv. Blank or illegible
- b. Illegal Ballot – any ballot that is either:
 - i. Cast on a wrong-numbered or wrong-color ballot
 - ii. Cast by an ineligible voter
- c. Neither invalid nor illegal ballots count in determining the result of a vote, but invalid ballots are to be included in calculating the total number of votes cast.

3. **Procedure with Questionable Ballots That May Affect a Result** – If it appears to the Tally Committee that a vote is close and that one or more questionable ballots may affect the result:
 - a. The Tally Chair halts the tally procedure, collects all the questionable ballots, delivers them to the Chair and informs the Chair that the Committee has questions about the validity or legality of one or more ballots.
 - b. At the earliest practical opportunity, the Chair – without revealing which candidate or which side of the issue would be aided by counting or not counting the ballot – describes the problem with each questionable ballot and presents to the body the question of whether to count it.
 - c. Upon the decision of the body, the Tally Chair returns to the Tally Committee with the ballots in question and the Committee completes its report.
4. **Procedure with Questionable Ballots That Will NOT Affect Results** – When apparently questionable ballots, if counted, will not affect the results in a race, the Tally Committee counts them as invalid or illegal in accordance with ¶C.2.c above, without need for referral to the Chair or the body.
5. **Reporting the Results**
 - a. For each ballot vote taken, the tellers shall prepare a separate Tally Sheet.
 - b. After the tabulation is complete, each member of the Tally Committee signs the Tally Sheet. All ballots, including questionable ballots of all kinds, are attached together into groups according to how the votes are credited and are placed in an envelope labeled: “46th LD Democrats / office or issue / ballot number (e.g., 1st, 2nd ballot, etc.) / date.” The envelope is sealed and the appropriate Tally Sheet taped on top.
 - c. The Tally Chair delivers the Tally Sheet secured to the sealed envelope of ballots to the Chair.
 - d. The Chair reads the totals reflected on the Tally Sheet to the body, and declares the result.
 - e. The data from the Tally Sheet are entered into the official minutes of the meeting.
 - f. Except where State Party rules provide otherwise, ballots shall be destroyed 21 days after the meeting.
6. **Motion for Recount of a Ballot Vote** (requires a second; is non-debatable; simple majority to pass): If a member questions the accuracy of a ballot-vote count, the member should move for a recount of the votes. Only ballots in the sealed envelope shall be recounted. The motion to recount must be made within a reasonably brief time after the Chair has declared the result of a vote, and in no case after adjournment of the meeting at which the vote took place.



Thank You!

46th District Democrats

For Your Sole Endorsement!

Thank you for joining the King County Democrats, the 11th District, the 36th District, the 37th District, and the 43rd District Democrats in supporting our campaign.

To all 46th District Democrats,

I am honored by your endorsement. I will work every day to promote your progressive democratic values if I'm elected as your next Seattle City Councilmember.

Over the last thirty years, I have fought as a social justice organizer for affordable housing, a strong social safety net and basic human rights.

We have seen too many examples recently of allowing downtown interests to dominate Seattle's agenda.

I will prioritize keeping Seattle affordable, keeping our neighborhoods safe and to creating more living wage jobs.

I am proud to join with you to reform our Seattle City Council.

David Bloom
 Candidate for Position #4
 Seattle City Council

Paid for by Citizens for David Bloom, 3822 42nd Avenue NE, Seattle, WA 98105, 206-453-5626 - www.bloomforcouncil.org



46th District Democrats
 PO Box 15435
 Seattle, WA 98115-0435
<http://www.46dems.com>

Return Service Requested

**PRSRRT STD
 US POSTAGE
 PAID
 # 3055
 Seattle, WA**

**GENERAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING
 THURSDAY, AUGUST 20TH AT OLYMPIC VIEW CHURCH SOCIAL HALL
 7:00 PM SOCIAL / CHILI FEED ~ 7:30 PM MEETING**

**Items for August 20th
 Membership Meeting**

- 7:00 - 7:30 Social, Chili Feed & Setup
- 7:30 Membership Mtg Begins
- 7:35 Approval of Minutes
 Report from the Chair
- 7:40 Program: Legislative Session
 Review, Cole Committee, Tally
 Procedures, Financial Review
- 9:15 Old Business
 New Business
 Good of the Order
 Adjourn

Please see page 2 for
 the complete agenda

Next Demogram Deadline: August 25th



CALENDAR OF EVENTS



- Sun, Aug 16th, **King County LAC Meeting**, Location TBD, contact Sarajane @ sarajane3h@comcast.net
- Tues. Aug 18th, **2009 Primary Election**, Don't forget to vote!
- Thurs, Aug 20th, **46dems General Meeting**, at the Olympic View Church, contact Chad @ chadlupkes@gmail.com or 206-792-5440
- Sat, Aug 22nd, **KCDCC Summer Victory Picnic**, Renton Carpenters Hall, 231 Burnett Avenue North, Renton, contact Susan Sheary, (425) 255-2679
- Tues. Aug 25th, 7:00 pm, **KCDCC Executive Board Meeting**, Renton Carpenters Hall - 231 Burnett Ave. More info at: <http://www.kcdems.org> or 206-622-9157
- Tues, Sept 1st, 7:15pm, **46dems EBoard Meeting**, at the Olympic View Church, contact Chad @ chadlupkes@gmail.com or 206-792-5440
- Many more events online. Please see our online calendar!!!
- Also check our neighboring districts for more opportunities to hear from and learn about the candidates this year!